

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/663,225 | 09/16/2003 | Kenneth R. Stott | 63116-00006USPT | 2119 |
| 24238 7590 03/15/2007 JENKENS & GILCHRIST 1401 MCKINNEY SUITE 2600 HOUSTON, TX 77010 | | | EXAMINER SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT | |
| | | | | |
| | | | , | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 03/15/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/663,225 | STOTT ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Brian Szmal | 3736 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mate, cause the application to become | NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 | February 2007. | |
| . , | is action is non-final. | • |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | ance except for formal ma | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-50 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 9,10 and 32-50 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8,12-28 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | · |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected. | a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objuse drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawing | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17:2(a)). | Application No en received in this National Stage |
| • | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper N 5) Notice o | w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | • 6) 🔲 Other: _ | · |

Application/Control Number: 10/663,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 12-17, 21-25, 27, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rho (6,425,485 B1) in view of Brown (6,168,563 B1) in view of Ahroon (7,149,684 B1).

Rho teaches an Internet interface for a computer based automated heating test. The interface is employed with a computer having a display screen and a transducer for providing test sounds. The interface includes a patient information component configured to allow an operator or a patient to enter the patient's information into the automated hearing test (S13); a patient testing component configured to allow a patient to administer a heating test to himself by causing the patient to interact with the automated hearing test during a hearing related test (S17-S19); and a reporting component configured to present a result of the patient's hearing test (S37). The patient information component comprises a new session screen for entering basic information about the patient and listing available heating tests. A pure sound (tone) threshold response screen allows the patient to respond during a pure tone threshold test using on-screen buttons which the patient may press in response to hearing a tone. A patient survey screen is provided for gathering heating related information about the patient. A report screen displays a report of the result of the patient's hearing test and can be

Art Unit: 3736

viewed as a web page using a web browser or accessed from a network connection. A patient training component instructs the patient regarding operation of the automated hearing test. A patient management component manages the patient during the automated hearing test. A system configuration component allows the operator to configure the automated hearing test. Rho teaches all of the limitations of the claims except that the reporting component presents the results in a graphical format, where the result includes data from the patient information component and the patient testing component. Rho teaches that the result is displayed as a threshold value and a hearing hardness grade. Applicant has not disclosed that reporting results in a graphical format including data from the patient information component and the patient testing component solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose.

Brown teaches a remote health monitoring and maintenance system that operates over the Internet. The system includes a reporting component (Figure 21) that reports results of a test in a graphical format and including data from the patient information component (i.e., patient name and test date) and the patient testing component for ease in review of the test results.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the of Applicant's invention to provide the system of Rho with a reporting component similar to that of Brown, combining data from the patient information component (such as patient name) and from the patient testing component (such as a graphical audiogram result indicating which/when test sounds were detected at a plurality of frequencies) in order

Application/Control Number: 10/663,225

Art Unit: 3736

to provide a simple format for test result review by the patient or a hearing health professional.

Rho and Brown however fail to disclose a speech reception threshold response screen for allowing a patient to respond during a speech reception threshold test.

Ahroon discloses a means for determining speech reception threshold and further discloses a speech reception threshold response screen for allowing a patient to respond during a speech reception threshold test. See Figure 1E; and Column 5, lines 36-52.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the combination of Rho and Brown with a speech reception threshold response screen, as per the teachings of Ahroon, since it would provide a compact means for measuring a patient's hearing.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rho (6,425,485 B1) in view of Brown (6,168,563 B1) in view of Ahroon (7,149,684 B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Godfrey et al (5,433,610).

Rho, Brown and Ahroon, as discussed above, disclose a means for providing a hearing test including the use of visualized words, but fail to disclose a set of pictureword pairs from which the user can select.

Godfrey et al disclose an educational device and further disclose a set of pictureword pairs from which the user can select. See Figures 4-9.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Rho, Brown and Ahroon to include the

Application/Control Number: 10/663,225

Art Unit: 3736

use of picture in combination with a word, as per the teachings of Godfrey et al, since it is well known to combine a picture with a word to test an individual.

4. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rho (6,425,485 B1) in view of Brown (6,168,563 B1) in view of Ahroon (7,149,684 B1), as applied to claim 13 above and further in view of Pavlakos (2002/0076056 A1).

Rho, as modified by Brown and Ahroon above, teach all of the limitations of the claims except that the reporting component further comprises a search screen for searching previously saved reports, a results screen that satisfies previously saved reports that satisfy one or more search parameters, and comparison screen for displaying a comparison of two reports. Pavlakos teaches an Internet based audiometric testing system that includes the ability to search for reports by providing a range of dates for the system to search, the ability to display the reports corresponding to the date range, and the ability to compare a recent report more prominently than an older report (see Figure 7 and paragraph [0031]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the of Applicant's invention to provide the system of Rho, as modified by Brown and Ahroon, with a search screen, results screen and comparison screen in view of the teachings of Pavlakos in order to provide for a more thorough examination of test results by allowing for searching previously saved reports and comparing of a history of reports.

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rho (6,425,485 B1) in view of Brown (6,168,563 B1) in view of Ahroon (7,149,684 B1), as applied to claim 24 above and further in view of Edwards et al. (2003/0083591 A1).

Art Unit: 37.36

Rho, as modified by Brown and Ahroon above, teach all of the limitations of the claims except that the patient management component comprises a progress indicator. Edwards et al. teach a system and method for remotely administered, interactive healing tests. Paragraph [0113], lines 16-17, teaches that this web-based system having an interface that includes a progress bar (see Fig. 19 and 20) for indicating the progress of the patient during the automated hearing test. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide an automated hearing test interface similar to that of Rho, as modified by Brown and Ahroon, with a patient management component having a progress indicator similar to that of Edwards et al. in order to provide an indication of the progress of the patient during the automated hearing test.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. The indicated allowability of claim 7, now incorporated into Amended Claim 1 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Ahroon (7,149,684 B1).

 Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are above.
- 7. Claims 11, 29 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 9 is allowable due to the incorporation of the previously indicated allowable subject matter as disclosed the Office Action mailed on September 13, 2006.

Claims 32-50 remain allowable per the reasons set forth in the Office Actions mailed on September 13, 2006 and March 13, 2006.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Szmal whose telephone number is (571) 272-4733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, with second Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3736

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A

BS